



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,517	12/11/2003	Gernot Eckstein	S0193.0011	1592
38881 7590 06/06/2008 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			EXAMINER JOHNSON, CARLTON	
			ART UNIT 2136	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/06/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary****Application No.**

10/735,517

**Applicant(s)**

ECKSTEIN ET AL.

**Examiner**

CARLTON V. JOHNSON

**Art Unit**

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 2/19/2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. This action is responding to application papers filed on 12/11/2003. Claims 1 - 10 are pending. Claims 1, 3 are independent.

### ***Response to Remarks***

3. The following is in response to papers dated 2/19/2008. Applicant's arguments have thus been fully considered but they are moot due to new grounds of rejection.

After an additional analysis of the applicant's invention, remarks, and a search of the available prior art, it was determined that the current set of prior art consisting of Niessen (5,367,638), Dias (4,855,690), and Read (5,353,240) disclose applicant's

Art Unit: 2136

invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims **1, 3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Niessen et al.** (US patent No. **5,367,638**).

**Regarding Claim 1**, Niessen discloses a method of preventing the external detection of operations in a digital integrated circuit comprising an asynchronous circuit (see Niessen col. 3, lines 65-68: asynchronous circuit (integrated circuit)), comprising the method step of time-varying a supply voltage (see Niessen col. 1, lines 26-28: self timed circuit varies supply voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry; speed up operation of circuit) of said asynchronous circuit to time-shift the execution time of operations within said asynchronous circuit. (see Niessen col 1, lines 58-63: powering voltage directly determines the operating speed of said electronic circuitry)

**Regarding Claim 3**, Niessen discloses a digital integrated circuit comprising: an asynchronous circuit, and means for time-varying a supply voltage of said asynchronous circuit to time-shift the execution point of operations within said asynchronous circuit. (see Niessen col. 3, lines 65-68: asynchronous circuit; col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry)

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims **2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Niessen et al.** (US patent No. **5,367,638**) in view of **Dias** (US Patent No. **4,855,690**).

**Regarding Claim 2**, Niessen discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the time variation of said supply voltage. (see Niessen col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry) Niessen does not specifically disclose that time variation takes place in a random way. However, Dias discloses wherein time variation

takes place in a random way. (see Dias col. 1, lines 53-58: generate random numbers in which a time varying voltage is generated)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen for time variation to take place in a random way as taught by Dias. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Dias in order to enable a random number generator which produces random numbers on a relatively small area of an integrated circuit. (see Dias col. 1, lines 31-34: “... Therefore, it can be appreciated that a random number generator circuit which produces random numbers on a relatively small area of an integrated circuit is highly desirable. ...”)

**Regarding Claim 4**, Niessen discloses the digital integrated circuit according to claim 3, wherein said means for time-varying said supply voltage. (see Niessen col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry) Niessen does not specifically disclose a random number generator. However, Dias discloses wherein comprising a random number generator. (see Dias col. 1, lines 53-58: method for random number generation random numbers)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen a random number generator as taught by Dias. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Dias in order to enable a random number generator which produces random numbers on a relatively small area of an integrated circuit. (see Dias col. 1, lines 31-34)

**Regarding Claim 5**, Niessen discloses the digital integrated circuit according to claim 4, wherein said means for time-varying said supply voltage. (see Niessen col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry) Niessen does not specifically disclose a noise voltage source driving said random-number generator. However, Dias discloses wherein further comprising a noise voltage source driving said random-number generator. (see Dias col. 1, lines 53-58: method for generation of random numbers)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen for a noise voltage source driving said random-number generator as taught by Dias. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Dias in order to enable a random number generator which produces random numbers on a relatively small are of an integrated circuit. (see Dias col. 1, lines 31-34)

**Regarding Claim 7**, Niessen discloses the digital integrated circuit according to claim 3, wherein said means for time-varying said supply voltage further comprises a voltage regulator. (see Niessen col. 5, lines 2-4: supply voltage is regulated to actual powering voltage by voltage regulator)

**Regarding Claims 9, 10**, Niessen discloses the method according to claims 1 and 3, wherein the asynchronous circuit is a type, which performs processing without

Art Unit: 2136

correlation to a clock. (see Niessen col. 3, lines 65-68: asynchronous circuit; col. 1, lines 26-28: self timed circuit; needs no clock synchronization)

8. Claims **6, 8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Niessen-Dias** and further in view of **Read et al.** (US Patent No. **5,353,243**).

**Regarding Claim 6**, Niessen discloses the digital integrated circuit according to claim 4, wherein said means for time-varying said supply voltage. (see Niessen col. 3, lines 65-68: asynchronous circuit (integrated circuit); col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry) However, Dias discloses wherein a random-number generator. (see Dias col. 1, lines 53-58: generate random numbers in which a time varying voltage is generated)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen for a random-number generator as taught by Dias. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Dias in order to enable a random number generator which produces random numbers on a relatively small are of an integrated circuit. (see Dias col. 1, lines 31-34)

Niessen-Dias does not specifically disclose a digital-analog converter transforming the digital values into an analog voltage. However, Read discloses wherein a digital-analog converter transforming the digital values into an analog voltage. (see Read col. 25, lines 29-31: reference voltages are supplied by a digital to analog converter)



It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen for a digital-analog converter transforming the digital values into an analog voltage as taught by Read. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Read in order for systems used by electronics designers to simulate the operation of electronic circuits during development and testing of electronic systems including circuits to combat attacks on integrated circuits. (see Read col. 1, lines 11-10: " ... *The present invention relates to systems used by electronics designers to simulate the operation of electronic circuits during development and testing of electronic systems. More specifically, the invention relates to hardware modeling systems that use examples of actual physical electronic circuits and components to model their behavior within a simulated electronic system design. ...* ")

**Regarding Claim 8**, Niessen discloses the digital integrated circuit according to claim 3, wherein said asynchronous circuit. (see Niessen col. 5, lines 4-8: regulating the output of a varying voltage source varying of voltage; col. 8, lines 56-60: vary the supply voltage to said electronic circuitry) Niessen does not specifically disclose executing a coding algorithm. However, Read discloses wherein formed for executing a coding algorithm. (see Read col. 1, lines 34-36: using code written in an algorithmic language such as "C")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Niessen for executing a coding algorithm as taught by Read. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Read in order for systems used by

electronics designers to simulate the operation of electronic circuits during development and testing of electronic systems including circuits to combat attacks on integrated circuits. (see Read col. 1, lines 11-10)

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton V. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday , 8:00 - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2136

Carlton V. Johnson  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2136

CVJ  
May 27, 2008

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136